## 

MS 44 (Rev 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

18

4990

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except a provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil docket speet (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE CIVIL (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
I. (a) FLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff OAOK, FL/				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNA TION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name Address, and Telephone Number)  A. FEIN LOLD, DR & SV				Attorneys (If Known)  Wylks War						
1.0.00×600	ON IN PA	191		- 70	,-,	•				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CI	TIZENSHIP (	OF PR	INCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box fc	r Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	·		(For Diversity Cases	Only) PTF	DEF		and One Box fo	or Defenda: PTF	nt) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		1 - 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In		<b>J</b> 4	<b>4</b>
CJ 2 US Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	up of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	7	2 7 2	Incorporated and of Business In		O 5	O 5
	U-BA-			en or Subject of a reign Country	<b>5</b> 3	3 3 3	Foreign Nation		<b>J</b> 6	<b>3</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F	RESTUREMENA	LTV		here for Nature			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Manne ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Aurplane 315 Amplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Label & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Manne 345 Manne Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIE RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities Other 348 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  7385 Property Damage  7385 Property Damage  7363 Alien Detainee  7510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  7510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  7530 General  7535 Death Penalty  7550 Civil Rights  7550 Civil Rights  7560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	TY	DEFEITURE/PENA  5 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC  0 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standard Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigat 11 Employee Retireme Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalized 5 Other Immigration Actions	are C 881 C	422 Appe   423 With   28 U   PROPE   320 Copy   830 Pater   835 Pater   New   340 Trad   861 HIA   363 DIW   364 SSII   365 RSI	CSC 157  RTY RIGHTS  yrights  nt  nt - Abbreviated  Drug Application  emark  CSECURITY  (1395ff)  k Lung (923)  C/DIWW (405(g))  Title XVI	480 Consum 490 Cable/Si 850 Securitic Exchang 3890 Other St 3891 Agricult 3893 Environ 3895 Freedom 3896 Arbitrati 3899 Adminis Act/Rev	arms Act a (31 USC) apportuning t and Banking ce tuon er 'influence Organization er Credit at TV ess/Commod ge atutory Ac ural Acts mental Matt a of Inform ton trauve Pro Decision tionality of	ed and ons dittes/ ttons ters tation
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	Cite the U.S. Civil State Court  Cite the U.S. Civil State Court  Brief description of city CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court  atute under which you ar  ause  IS A CLASS ACTION  23, FR.Cv P	re filing (	ened A  On not cite jurisdiction  EMAND \$	Another (specify)	tes uniess d	(7) 6 Multidist Littgation Transfer iversity)  CHECK YES only IURY DEMAND	r if demanded in	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n - le
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY (	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUE	OGE		MAG JU	DGE		

Case 2:18-cv-04990-MAK Document 1 Filed 11/19/18 Page 2 of 10

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

4990

**DESIGNATION FORM** (to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar) OBIN DESTO Address of Plaintiff: " Address of Defendant: 51 To 204 DZ Jaroth mestink m 19462 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction. RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge. Date Terminated: Case Number Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit 2 pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case pow pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above DATE nev-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) Federal Question Cases: Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury **FELA** 3. Assault, Defamation Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Marine Personal Injury Antitrust 4 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Patent Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Products Liability Products Liability - Asbestos Habeas Corpus All other Diversity Cases Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) \_\_\_ 10. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration)  Leaves el of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify						
I, A. FEIN coursel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs						
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE						
NOTE. A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38						

WKK

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

A. FEINIOP  v.  ERIE, Trac  In accordance with the Civil	: :	CIVIL ACTION							
ERIE, ETA	: : :	NO. <b>18</b> 49							
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.									
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS:							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.									
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ( )									
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )									
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ( )									
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a) complex and that need special or i de of this form for a detailed explanation.	intense management by							
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any one	of the other tracks.							
1//9/18 Date	Attorney-at-law AND CI-	Attorney for Sol							
7/2-504-95	- p/p	M/							
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address							

(Civ. 660) 10/02



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF

18 4990

Allen Feingold P.O. Box 60171 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19102 A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED

v.

McCormick & Priore 450 Plymouth Road Suite 204

Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462

&

Peter Kulp

450 Plymouth Road

Suite 204

Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462

&

Scott Tredwell

450 Plymouth Road

Suite 204

Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462

&

Erie Insurance Exchange All
Erie Insurance Group A/K/A
Erie Car Insurance ERIE

1400 North Providence Road

Suite 216

Rose Tree Corporate Center Media, Pennsylvania, 19063

**COMPLAINT** 

#### **COMPLAINT**

Allen Feingold, pro se, brings this complaint against the above-captioned defendants and avers in support thereof as follows:

#### Introduction

- 1. This is an action seeking redress against the above defendants for fees, costs, time, effort and monies expended and owed to the plaintiff by Hilda Cid. The action also seeks recovery against the defendants for breech of various agreements, contracts, laws and understandings between Hilart Cid and the defendants.
- 2. Jurisdiction of this matter is premised upon diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332, as the parties are all citizens of different states. Venue of this matter is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391, as the events forming the basis of this complaint occurred in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff, Allen Feingold is an adult individual, citizen and resident of the Southern District of Florida.
- 4. The defendants are adult individuals, organization and corporations that are citizens, residents, organized or incorporated with principal places of business in states other than Florida.
- 5. At all times material hereto, defendants acted individually, independently and improperly and through their agents, servants, workman, counsel, and/or employees, who then and there were acting within and without the course and scope of their agency, servitude, work, representation and/or employment.
- 6. Hilda Cid was involved in two collisions and suffered serious injuries and losses, in each, while at the time of each she was insured and covered by a contract of insurance, which included both UM and UIM coverages, with the Erie Defendants that should and did cover her for these collisions, injuries and losses. Hilda Cid partly because of the defendants delays, harassment, broken agreement, improprieties and failure to timely fulfill their obligation has transferred, agreed, contracted and assigned some, part of her rights and claims against these defendant. See Exhibit # 1 Attached

### **PARTIES**

- 7. The Plaintiff is Allen Feingold, an individual with an address located at P.O. Box 60171, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19102.
- 8. The Defendant is McCormick & Priore, P.C. an organization with an address located at 450 Plymouth Road, Suite 204, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462.
- 9. The Defendant is Peter Kulp, an individual with an address located at 450 Plymouth Road, Suite 204, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462.
- 10. The Defendant is Scott Tredwell, an individual with an address located at 450 Plymouth Road, Suite 204, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, 19462.
- 11. The Defendants are Erie Insurance Exchange, Erie Insurance Group, Erie Car Insurance, all A/K/A as Erie, "ERIE", organizations with an address located at 1400 North Providence Road, Suite 216, Rose Tree Corporate Center, Media, Pennsylvania, 19063, companies and corporations organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, while transacting substantial and continuing business within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and maintaining a place of business as set forth above.
- 12. At all times relevant hereto, the various Defendants and organizations acted through and were represented by agents, servants, employees, representatives and counsel who were then and there acting within and outside their agency, servitude, employment, and representation.

### HISTORY

- 12. The events which are the basis of these claims and allegations have taken place and continued over a period of years up to the present day by most or all of the defendants causing damage, injury and delay that further damaged and injured the claims and causes of action.
- 13/. On the date that these claims and causes of action occurred or came into being, both prior and subsequent thereto, the defendants had determined to increase profits, fees and eliminate costs, reduce payments, pay outs for obligations, fulfill obligations
- To recognize the aim of this scheme, defendants sought out medical and legal consultant and professionals who would be willing to consistently and continuously do all that they could do and/or accomplish to injure, reduce and/or delay any and all claims, payments and obligations of the defendant insurance company to their insureds and/or claimants.
- 13. To further recognize the aim of their scheme, the defendants, insurers, agreed that neither they, nor their counsel would engage in reasonable or fair settlement discussions in further attempts to force trial on claims, delay resolution of claims and to cause the holders of the claims, as much grief and aggravation in pursuing their claims, even when the claims were originally held by the insurers own insureds, who are thereby obligated to provide a higher degree of fiduciary duty.
- The defendants believed that by their scheme defense attorneys would be more willing to undertake representation of these claims while claimants attorneys would be less willing to do so since they would be forced to incur significant and unreasonable costs, time and work in pursuing the claims which would be the exact opposite that would attract the defendants to accept and defend these claims.
- Also, defendants believed that by forcing these claims to trial and/or delaying same by any means, either proper or not, they would prevail in more litigation and/or force claimant to accept far less than their claims were worth or valued and/or to obtain and/or secure procedural advantages to which they had no right to obtain.
- 18. By this scheme, these defendants hoped to make counsel less available to the claimants and more available to the insurance companies, as well as to discourage claimants from pursuing their claims to a fair and just conclusion.
- 16. To aid in realizing the aim of its scheme, insurance companies and defendants employed counsel who were willing to carry out the insurance companies and defendants obstructionist tactics regardless of their legal merit or propriety.

# COUNT I - (Bad Faith) Plaintiff v. Defendants

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 20 above herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 22. At the time of the aforesaid collision, Cid was insured under policies of motor vehicle insurance which provided for underinsured and uninsured motorist coverage in connection with her collisions.
- 23. Defendants have refused to make any payment to Cid, despite her clear entitlement to benefits under one or more of the aforesaid coverages.
- 24. As a consequence, Cid has been deprived of the benefits rightfully owing to her virtue of the payment of premiums to defendants.
- 25. The aforsesaid conduct of defendants is in bad faith and in violation of 42 Pa.C.S. Section 8371 for the reasons set forth above, and in that:
- a. defendants have not advised Cid of any specific reason for the rejection of her claims;
- b. defendants have failed to acknowledge and act promptly on Cid's benefit claims;
- c. defendants have not attempted in good faith to effectuate a fair, prompt and . equitable settlement of Cid's claims;
- d. defendants have failed and refused to pay benefits in the absence of a hona fide and objectively reasonable belief that they would succeed in continuing to contest Cid's entitlement to such benefits, even in the face of undisputed evidence of liability to Cid;

- e. defendants deliberately misrepresented the scope, amount and requirements of coverage available to Cid under the policies;
- f. defendants failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards of investigation with respect to submitted claims;
- g. defendants' conduct as aforesaid violated the Pennsylvania Unfair Insurance
  Practice Act (40 P.S. Section 1171, et seq.) and the Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer
  Protection Law (73 P.S. Section 201-2).

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests judgment in his favor and against defendants in an amount in excess of Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, exclusive of interest and costs, together with interest at 3% over prime on all unpaid benefits; attorney's fees, punitive damages and such further relief as deemed appropriate by the Court.

ALLEN L. FEINGOLD Pro se Plaintiff

### AGREEMENT, CONTRACT AND ASSIGNMENT

AND NOW THIS 8 TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2018, IT IS HEREBY AGREED BY AND BETWEEN ALLEN FEINGOLD, AND HILDA CID, THAT TO COMPENSATE AND REPAY ALLEN FEINGOLD FOR ALL OF THE WORK, REPRESENTATIONS, TIME, EXPENSES AND COSTS THAT HE PERFORMED AND ADVANCED OR PAID FOR, AS WELL AS WORK AND EXPENDITURES ON THESE ACCIDENTS AND COLLISIONS IN WHICH I WAS INVOLVED IN ON OR ABOUT MAY, 2005 AND MARCH 2006, AS WELL AS NUMEROUS OTHER MATTERS AND UNDERTAKINGS, AND CLAIMS ON MY BEHALF, THAT I, HILDA CID AM ASSIGNING, CONTRACTING AND TRANSFERRING TO ALLEN FEINGOLD, ONE HUNDRED (100%) PECENT OF MY CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH, BREACH OF CONTRACT, DAMAGES, LOSSES, PROPERTY DAMAGE AND OTHER LOSSES AND IMPROPRIETIES THAT I POSSESS AGAINST THE LAWYERS, LAW FIRMS, DEFENSE DOCTORS, DEFENDANTS AND THEIR INSURANCE COMPANIES, AS WELL AS ERIE INSURANCE COMPANY AND THEIR VARIOUS REINCARNATIONS, SUBSIDIARIES AND RELATED COMPANIES, OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY THAT CAUSED MY LOSS, HARM AND/OR DELAYED MY CLAIMS.

ALLEN FEINGOLD

TIII DA CID

EX